

## Approximate formulae for $L(1, \chi)$ , II

by

OLIVIER RAMARÉ (Lille)

**1. Introduction and results.** Upper bounds of  $|L(1, \chi)|$  are mainly useful in number theory to study class numbers of algebraic extensions. In [1]–[3] Louboutin establishes bounds for  $|L(1, \chi)|$  that take into account the behavior of  $\chi$  at small primes. His method uses special representations of  $L(1, \chi)$  and does not extend to odd characters. For instance in [2] he uses  $L(1, \chi) = 2 \sum_n \sum_{l \leq n} \chi(l) / (n(n+1)(n+2))$  which comes from an integration by parts; such a formula fails in the odd case. But the effect of this integration by parts is in fact similar to the introduction of a smoothing, something we did in [5], the only difficulty being to handle properly the Fourier transform of functions behaving like  $1/t$  near  $\infty$ . This method gives good numerical results in a uniform way.

In this note we improve on the results given in [2] and [3] and extend them to the odd character case. Let us mention that we take this opportunity to correct several typos occurring in [5].

We first state a general formula.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $q$  and let  $h$  be an integer prime to  $q$ . Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $f(t) = F(t)/t$  is in  $C^2(\mathbb{R})$  (also at 0), vanishes at  $\pm\infty$  and  $f'$  and  $f''$  are in  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Assume also that  $F$  is even if  $\chi$  is odd, and odd if  $\chi$  is even. Then, for every  $\delta > 0$ , we have*

$$\prod_{p|h} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p}\right) L(1, \chi) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n, h) = 1}} \chi(n) \frac{1 - F(\delta n)}{n} \\ + \frac{\chi(-h)\tau(\chi)}{qh} \sum_{m \geq 1} c_h(m) \overline{\chi}(m) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F(t)}{t} e(mt/(\delta qh)) dt.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11M20.

Here the Gauss sum  $\tau(\chi)$  is defined by

$$(1) \quad \tau(\chi) = \sum_{a \bmod q} \chi(a)e(a/q)$$

and the Ramanujan sums  $c_h(m)$  by

$$(2) \quad c_h(m) = \sum_{a \bmod^* h} e(ma/q).$$

Of course  $e(\cdot) = e^{2i\pi\cdot}$ , and  $a \bmod^* h$  denotes summation over all invertible residue classes modulo  $h$ . We further restrict our attention to square-free  $h$ .

Here are two interesting choices for  $F$  which we take directly from Proposition 2 of [5]. Set

$$(3) \quad F_3(t) = \left( \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2}{t} + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(m)}{(t-m)^2} \right),$$

$$(4) \quad j(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_3(t)}{t} e(ut) dt = \mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(u) \int_{|u|}^1 (\pi(1-t) \cot \pi t + 1) dt,$$

$$(5) \quad F_4(t) = 1 - \left( \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t} \right)^2$$

which satisfies

$$(6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_4(t)}{t} e(ut) dt = -i\pi(1-|u|)^2 \mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(u).$$

Notice furthermore that  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  take their values in  $[0, 1]$ .

In order to compute efficiently the resulting sums we select several levels of hypotheses, starting by the most general ones. We use the Euler  $\phi$ -function and the number  $\omega(t)$  of distinct prime factors of  $t$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $q$  and  $h$  an integer prime to  $q$ . Assume  $q$  is divisible by a square-free  $k$  and set  $\kappa_\chi = 0$  if  $\chi$  is even, and  $\kappa_\chi = 5 - 2 \log 6 = 1.41648\dots$  if  $\chi$  is odd. Then*

$$\left| \prod_{p|h} \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p} \right) L(1, \chi) \right| - \frac{\phi(hk)}{2hk} \left[ \log q + 2 \sum_{p|hk} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + \omega(h) \log 4 + \kappa_\chi \right]$$

*is bounded from above if  $\chi$  is even and  $q \geq k^2 4^{\omega(h)}$  by*

$$\frac{\phi(h) 2^{\omega(k)-1}}{h\sqrt{q}} \times \begin{cases} \log(q 4^{-\omega(h)+1}) & \text{if } q \geq k^2 4^{\omega(h)}, \\ 1.81 + \omega(h) \log 4 - \log q & \text{if } k = 1, \end{cases}$$

and if  $\chi$  is odd by

$$\frac{3\pi\phi(hk)}{2hkkq} \prod_{p|hk} \frac{p^2 - 1}{4p^2} + \begin{cases} \frac{\pi\phi(h)2^{\omega(k)}}{2h\sqrt{q}} & \text{if } k^2 \max\left(\frac{11}{10} \cdot 4^{\omega(h)}, h^2 4^{-\omega(h)+1}\right), \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

This improves on Theorems 1, 4 and 5 of [3] in the quality of the bounds and in their range, and also by the fact that it covers the case of odd characters. For instance in Theorem 5 of [3], where Louboutin studies separately the cases  $h = 3$  and  $k = 2$ , he gets the upper bound  $\frac{1}{6}(\log q + 4.83 \dots + o(1))$  for even characters, while we get  $\frac{1}{6}(\log q + 3.87 \dots + 3(\log q)/\sqrt{q})$ . Recently in [4], by generalizing his method introduced in [2], Louboutin has reached a similar result for the case of even characters, albeit with a slightly larger constant  $\kappa_\chi = 2 + \gamma - \log(4\pi) = 0.046 \dots$  instead of  $\kappa_\chi = 0$ . This enabled him to replace  $\frac{1}{6}(\log q + 4.83 \dots + o(1))$  by  $\frac{1}{6}(\log q + 3.91 \dots)$ .

Notice that the upper bound in the case of even characters is non-positive when  $k = 1$  as soon as  $q \geq 6.2 \cdot 4^{\omega(h)}$ .

When  $h = 2$  we can get slightly more precise results:

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo odd  $q$ . Then*

$$|(1 - \chi(2)/2)L(1, \chi)| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\log q + \kappa(\chi))$$

where  $\kappa(\chi) = 4 \log 2$  if  $\chi$  is even, and  $\kappa(\chi) = 5 - 2 \log(3/2)$  otherwise.

In [2], the value  $\kappa(\chi) \simeq 2.818 \dots$  is proved to hold true for even characters while  $4 \log 2 = 2.772 \dots$

We introduce the character  $\psi$  induced by  $\chi$  modulo  $qh$ . Furthermore  $(m, t)$  denotes the gcd of  $m$  and  $t$ .

As for the typos in [5], first, Proposition 2 gives a wrong formula for  $L(1, \chi)$  if  $\chi$  is even: the sign preceding  $\tau(\chi)$  should be  $+$  and not  $-$ . Then Lemma 8 gives a fancy value for  $\varrho_4$ . In fact  $\varrho_4(t) = -i\pi(1 - |t|)^2 \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(t)$ , which is what is proved and used throughout the paper! Finally, in the 6th line of page 264, it is written, “and this last summand is non-negative”, while this summand is without any doubt non-positive.

We thank the referee for his careful reading and for improving Lemma 11.

**2. Lemmas.** We essentially combine Louboutin’s proof [2] and ours [5], while generalizing both situations.

First here is a generalization of the new part in Louboutin’s paper [2]:

**LEMMA 1.** *For every  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we have*

$$\sum_{a \bmod qh} \psi(a)e(am/(qh)) = c_h(m)\chi(h)\bar{\chi}(m)\tau(\chi).$$

*Proof.* By the Chinese remainder theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \bmod hq} \psi(a)e(am/(hq)) &= \sum_{x \bmod h} \sum_{y \bmod q} \psi(xq + yh)e((xq + yh)m/(hq)) \\ &= \sum_{x \bmod^* h} e(xm/h) \sum_{y \bmod q} \chi(yh)e(ym/q) \\ &= c_h(m)\chi(h)\bar{\chi}(m)\tau(\chi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_h(m)$  is the Ramanujan sum defined by (2).

Now, Lemma 3 of [5] can be extended to

LEMMA 2. *The sum  $\sum_n^w f(\delta n)\chi(n)$  exists in the restricted sense given in [5] and*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}^w f(\delta n)\psi(n) = \frac{\chi(-h)\tau(\chi)}{qh} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} c_h(m)\bar{\chi}(m) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\delta t)e(mt/(qh)) dt.$$

*Note:*  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e(ut) dt = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^T g(t)e(ut) dt$  for  $u \neq 0$ .

Now we state and prove lemmas that give approximations of the relevant quantities.

LEMMA 3. *For  $\delta > 0$  and  $hk \geq 2$  we have*

$$\frac{hk}{\phi(hk)} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n, hk) = 1}} \frac{1 - F_3(\delta n)}{n} = -\log \delta - 1 + \sum_{p|hk} \frac{\log p}{p-1}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n, hk) = 1}} \frac{1 - F_3(\delta n)}{n} &= \sum_{d|hk} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ d|n}} \frac{1 - F_3(\delta n)}{n} \\ &= \sum_{d|hk} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - F_3(d\delta n)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 16 of [5] gives the value of the above if  $hk = 1$ , which is  $-\log \delta - 1 + \delta$ . This equality is stated only for  $\delta \leq 1$  but since only analytic functions are involved, it naturally extends to  $\delta > 0$ . We infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n, hk) = 1}} \frac{1 - F_3(\delta n)}{n} &= \sum_{d|hk} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} (-\log(d\delta) - 1 + d\delta) \\ &= -\frac{\phi(hk)}{hk} \log \delta - \frac{\phi(hk)}{hk} + \frac{\phi(hk)}{hk} \sum_{p|hk} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

provided  $hk \geq 2$ .

LEMMA 4. For  $\delta uq \geq 1$  we have

$$\delta uq - 2 \log(e\delta uq) \leq \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \delta uq} j(m/(\delta uq)) \leq \delta uq - \log(2\pi\delta uq/e).$$

The upper bound is proved between (6.3) and (6.4) in [5]. There also the restriction  $\delta \leq 1$  can be dispensed with. The lower bound comes simply from a comparison to an integral since  $j$  is non-increasing and since  $j(t) \leq -2 \log |t|$  for  $t \leq 1$  (shown to be true in Lemma 7 of [5]),

$$(7) \quad \int_0^r j(t) dt \leq -2(r \log r - r) \quad (r \in [0, 1]).$$

LEMMA 5. For  $\delta > 0$  and  $h' = h/(2, h)$  we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq \delta q} \frac{\phi((m, h))}{\phi(h)} j(m/(\delta hq)) \leq 2^{\omega(h)} \delta q + 1 - \log(2\pi\delta q) + \frac{H(h')}{\phi(h)} \sum_{p|h'} \frac{\log p}{p-2}.$$

*Proof.* Let us introduce the non-negative multiplicative function  $H = \mu \star \phi$ . We have  $H(p) = p - 2$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \delta q} \phi((m, h)) j(m/(\delta q)) &= \sum_{d|h} H(d) \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \delta q/d} j(dm/(\delta q)) \\ &\leq \sum_{d|h} \frac{hH(d)}{d} \delta q + \phi(h)(1 - \log(2\pi\delta hq)) + \sum_{d|h} H(d) \log d. \end{aligned}$$

Now and since  $h$  is square-free we see that  $\sum_{d|h} hH(d)/d = 2^{\omega(h)} \phi(h)$ .

LEMMA 6. For  $\delta \geq k/q$  we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \delta q \\ (m, k)=1}} \frac{\phi((m, h))}{\phi(h)} j(m/(\delta hq)) \leq 2^{\omega(h)} \frac{\phi(k)}{k} \delta q + 2^{\omega(k)} \log(e\delta q/2).$$

*Proof.* Following the proof of Lemma 5, our sum equals

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|h} H(d) \sum_{l|k} \mu(l) \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \delta q/(dl)} j(dlm/(\delta hq)) \\ \leq \delta q 2^{\omega(h)} \phi(h) \frac{\phi(k)}{k} + \sum_{d|h} H(d) \sum_{\substack{l|k \\ \mu(l)=-1}} 2 \log(e\delta q/(dl)) \\ \leq \delta q 2^{\omega(h)} \phi(h) \frac{\phi(k)}{k} + \phi(h) 2^{\omega(k)} \log(e\delta q/2) \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $\delta q/k \geq 1$ .

LEMMA 7. For  $\delta > 0$  and  $hk \geq 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{hk}{\phi(hk)} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n, hk) = 1}} \frac{1 - F_4(\delta n)}{n} &= \log \delta + \frac{3}{2} - \log(2\pi) + \sum_{p|hk} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \\ &+ \frac{2\phi(hk)}{hk} \sum_{d|hk} \mu(d) \int_0^1 (1-t) \log \left| \frac{\pi d \delta t}{\sin(\pi d \delta t)} \right| \frac{dt}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $hk = 2$  the last summand is non-positive, and in general if  $\delta \leq 1/(2hk)$ , it is not more than  $\frac{\pi^3}{6} \delta^2 \prod_{p|hk} (p^2 - 1)/p^2$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 17 of [5] gives us

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - F_4(\delta n)}{n} = -\log \delta + \frac{3}{2} - \log(2\pi) + 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \log \left| \frac{\pi \delta t}{\sin(\pi \delta t)} \right| dt$$

and we use the same technique as in the previous lemma. The error term is non-positive if  $hk = 2$  as shown in [5] between (7.2) and (7.3). Furthermore the integral is shown there (in Lemma 18) to be not more than  $\pi^3 \delta^2 / 12$  as soon as  $\delta \leq 1/2$ .

A simple comparison to an integral yields:

LEMMA 8. For  $\delta uq \geq 1$  we have

$$\frac{\delta uq}{3} - 1 \leq \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \delta uq} \left(1 - \frac{m}{\delta uq}\right)^2 \leq \frac{\delta uq}{3}.$$

LEMMA 9. For  $\delta \geq k/q$  we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \delta hq \\ (m, k) = 1}} \frac{\phi((m, h))}{\phi(h)} \left(1 - \frac{m}{\delta hq}\right)^2 \leq \frac{\phi(k)}{k} \frac{\delta q}{3} 2^{\omega(h)} + 2^{\omega(k)-1}$$

where the last summand can be omitted if  $k = 1$ .

*Proof.* We proceed as in Lemma 6 to deduce that our sum is

$$\sum_{d|h} H(d) \sum_{l|k} \mu(l) \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \delta q/(dl)} \left(1 - \frac{dlm}{\delta q}\right)^2$$

and the conclusion follows readily.

From [6, (3.22), (2.11) and (3.26)], we get

LEMMA 10. *We have*

$$\sum_{1 < p \leq X} \frac{\log p}{p} \leq \log X - 1.332 + \frac{1}{2 \log X} \quad (X \geq 319),$$

$$\prod_{2 < p \leq X} \frac{p-1}{p} \leq \frac{2e^{-\gamma}}{\log X} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2 \log^2 X}\right) \quad (X > 1),$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant.

LEMMA 11. *For  $h > 1$ , we have*

$$\prod_{2 < p|h} \frac{p-2}{p-1} \sum_{2 < p|h} \frac{\log p}{p-2} \leq 0.7414.$$

*Proof.* First writing  $h = h_1 p_1$  where  $p_1$  is a prime factor, the reader readily checks that our quantity is a non-increasing function of  $p_1$ . We thus find that its maximum is obtained when  $h = \prod_{2 < p \leq X} p$ . As a function of  $X$ , it numerically seems increasing and GP/PARI needs at most 10 seconds to prove it is  $\leq 0.72$  if the product is taken over primes  $\leq 10^6$ . Using Lemma 10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(X) &= \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \frac{\log p}{p-2} = \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \frac{2 \log p}{p(p-2)} + \sum_{1 < p \leq X} \frac{\log p}{p} - \frac{\log 2}{2} \\ &\leq 1.27 + \log X - 1.332 + \frac{1}{2 \log X} - 0.346 \\ &\leq \log X - 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

for  $X \geq 10^6$ . Furthermore, still invoking Lemma 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(X) &= \prod_{2 < p \leq X} \frac{p-2}{p-1} \\ &\leq \prod_{2 < p \leq X} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \prod_{2 < p \leq X} \frac{p-1}{p} \\ &\leq \prod_{2 < p \leq 10^6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \frac{2e^{-\gamma}}{\log X} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2 \log^2 X}\right) \end{aligned}$$

also for  $X \geq 10^6$ . Since  $(1 - 0.4y)(1 + 0.5y^2) \leq 1$  if  $0 \leq y \leq 0.4$ , our function is not more than

$$(8) \quad 2e^{-\gamma} \prod_{2 < p \leq 10^6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \leq 0.7414.$$

**3. Proof of the Theorem.** Let us start with

$$(9) \quad L(1, \psi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \psi(n) \frac{1 - F(\delta n)}{n} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \psi(n) \frac{F(\delta n)}{n}.$$

Thanks to the hypothesis concerning the respective parities of  $F$  and  $\chi$ , we get

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \psi(n) \frac{F(\delta n)}{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(n) \delta f(\delta n),$$

to which we apply Lemma 2, and the Theorem follows readily.

**4. Proofs of the corollaries.** For even characters we take  $F = F_3$ . Combining the Theorem with Lemmas 3 and 6, and noticing that  $|c_h(m)| \leq \phi((h, m))$ , we get

$$(11) \quad \left| \prod_{p|h} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p}\right) L(1, \chi) \right| \frac{hk}{\phi(hk)} \\ \leq -\log \delta - 1 + \sum_{p|hk} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \left( 2^{\omega(h)} \delta q + \frac{k 2^{\omega(k)}}{\phi(k)} \log(e\delta q/2) \right)$$

provided  $\delta \geq k/q$ . We simply have to choose  $\delta = 1/(2^{\omega(h)} \sqrt{q})$  and the claimed formula follows readily.

For odd characters we use  $F = F_4$  and Lemmas 7 and 9 to get

$$(12) \quad \left| \prod_{p|h} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p}\right) L(1, \chi) \right| \frac{hk}{\phi(hk)} \leq -\log \delta + \frac{3}{2} - \log(2\pi) \\ + \sum_{p|hk} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + \frac{\pi^3}{6} \delta^2 \prod_{p|hk} \frac{p^2 - 1}{p} + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{q}} \left( \frac{\delta 2^{\omega(h)} q}{3} + 2^{\omega(k)-1} \frac{k}{\phi(k)} \right)$$

provided  $\delta \in [k/q, 1/(2hk)]$ . We take  $\delta = 3/(2^{\omega(h)} \pi \sqrt{q})$  and the claimed formula follows readily.

To prove the second corollary (i.e. with  $k = 1$ ), we simply adapt the above proof, but we can simplify the bound in the even case. We first obtain

$$(13) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \left( 1 - \log((2\pi/e)\sqrt{q} 2^{-\omega(h)}) + \prod_{2 < p|h} \frac{p-2}{p-1} \sum_{2 < p|h} \frac{\log p}{p-2} \right).$$

The last factor is bounded in Lemma 11 by 0.7414, so the above term is not more than  $(1.81 + \omega(h) \log 4 - \log q)/(2\sqrt{q})$  as announced.

When  $h = 2$ , the claimed upper bounds are proved if  $q \geq 39$ , in part because the term in  $\delta^2$  appearing in (12) disappears by Lemma 7. We complete the verification by appealing to GP/PARI as indicated in [5]. The maximum of  $\kappa(\chi)$  for even characters of module  $\leq 1000$  is  $\leq 1.705$ , attained



for  $q = 109$ , while the maximum of  $\kappa(\chi)$  for odd characters of module  $\leq 1000$  is  $\leq 3.360$ , attained for  $q = 131$ .

### References

- [1] S. Louboutin, *Majorations au point 1 des fonctions  $L$  associées aux caractères de Dirichlet primitifs, ou au caractère d'une extension quadratique d'un corps quadratique imaginaire principal*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 419 (1991), 213–219.
- [2] —, *Majorations explicites de  $|L(1, \chi)|$  (quatrième partie)*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 334 (2002), 625–628.
- [3] —, *Explicit upper bounds for  $|L(1, \chi)|$  for primitive even Dirichlet characters*, Acta Arith. 101 (2002), 1–18.
- [4] —, *Explicit upper bounds for values at  $s = 1$  of Dirichlet  $L$ -series associated with primitive even characters*, J. Number Theory, to appear.
- [5] O. Ramaré, *Approximate formulae for  $L(1, \chi)$* , Acta Arith. 100 (2001), 245–266.
- [6] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962), 64–94.

UMR 8524  
Université Lille I  
59 655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France  
E-mail: ramare@agat.univ-lille1.fr

*Received on 20.9.2002  
and in revised form on 26.6.2003*

(4380)